

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Highlands Road Lay-By, Fareham
Report of:	Director of Planning and Regulation
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose: To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions in the layby in Highlands Road which lies shortly to the north of the A27, and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary: This report considers the reasons for proposing waiting restrictions in the layby.

Recommendation: That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason: To address concerns and complaints about parking in the layby for inappropriate purposes.

Cost of Proposals: The cost of the proposals will be met by Fareham Borough Council's Traffic Management budget.

Risk Assessment: There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A : Scheme drawing

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 28 June 2016

Subject:: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Highlands Road Lay-By, Fareham

Briefing by: Director of Planning and Regulation

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

Background

1. Complaints have been received about inappropriate parking (including for the selling of vehicles) which takes place in a lay-by in Highlands Road which lies a short distance to the north of its junction with the A27 The Avenue. Parking here is presently unrestricted, but the purpose of this lay-by is for waiting for limited periods.
2. It is proposed that waiting should be limited to a maximum period of two hours (no return within four hours), which will apply at all times. This should be adequate for all legitimate waiting. The layby concerned is shown at Appendix A

Consultations

3. The Police, Ward and County Councillors have been consulted on this proposal and expressed their support.
4. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

5. The proposal was advertised in May 2016 and no responses were received.

Conclusion

6. It is therefore recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as advertised and shown at Appendix A.